

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/476,622	CHIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William M. Treat	2181

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) William M. Treat.

(3) _____.

(2) Chui-kuu Teresa Wong.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner asked if a reply had been filed to his action of 1/20/2006 and was told that no reply had been filed.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)